Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/719,775	LIGHTSTONE E	ΓAL.
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	KENNETH TANG	2195	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>allowed</u>		
(1) <u>KENNETH TANG</u> .	(3)		
(2) Edward Van Gieson (Reg. No. 44,386).	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>13 April 2009</u>	Time: <u>10am</u>		
Type of Interview:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: NA			
Claims discussed: 40			
Prior art documents discussed: NA			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: It was agreed to correct the error of having two different claim 40s in the claim set. The claims were improperly numbered and the supplemental Examiner's Amendment was made to overcome the problem by renumbering the claims as 40 through 48.			
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
/Kenneth Tang/ Examiner, Art Unit 2195	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if a	ppropriate)